

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,162	(09/10/2003	George Frolov	061134-9051 (5155-HP-LO)	3746
23585	7590	01/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL 3773 CORPO		FRIEDRICH L	LEE, KYUNG S		
SUITE 360				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CENTER VA	LLEY, PA 18034	PA 18034-8217		2832	
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/659,162	FROLOV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard K. Lee	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 17-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. Is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) that sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 c)	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
5. Patent and Trademark Office						

an

Application/Control Number: 10/659,162

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment of September 10, 2003 cancels claims 1-16. Method claims 17-20 are currently pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "extractor" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Zeeland (6,023,213).

Van Zeeland teaches a method for setting the operating characteristics of a multi-position switch wherein a plurality of switch positions are each defined by a pair of magnets (see figs. 5-7) comprising:

an extractor 134 (fig. 7) for extracting magnets 120 (col. 2, line 10);

Application/Control Number: 10/659,162

Art Unit: 2832

magnetically bonding the extractor to the magnet (see fig. 7) and removing the bonded magnet from the switch assembly 10; and

inserting a magnet having selective polarity orientation into the assembly (see figs. 5 and 6 for altering magnetic polarity).

Regarding claim 20, please see fig. 7 of Van Zeeland.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Zeeland '213.

Van Zeeland '213 teaches the claimed invention except for the magnet having a cylindrical shape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a cylindrically shaped magnet for its equivalent us in the art. The selection of any of shapes disclosed as equivalent magnet would be within the lever or ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-9060. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (703) 308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Richard K. L

Examiner

Art Unit 28%